

CURRENT MATERIAL

Possible Amount Value

CURRENT
MATERIAL

For Classification
and Filing

Re: FERRIE
(Dante Marochini)

MEMORANDUM

March 6, 1967

TO: JIM GARRISON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
FROM: JAMES L. ALCOCK and RICHARD V. BURNES
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
RE: DANTE A. MAROCHINI, 4951 Music St., New Orleans, La.

282-0475

On March 3, 1967, at about 5:30 P.M., Richard Burnes and I interviewed DANTE MAROCHINI. The interview took place in Jim Alcock's office.

RESIDENCES

DANTE MAROCHINI said he arrived in New Orleans in about December of 1961 and at that time took up residence at 1309 Dauphine Street. His landlady was GERTRUDE HARRISON, a colored female. MAROCHINI stated the reason for coming to New Orleans was that he was on his way to Houston and stopped in New Orleans to visit a relative (cousin or brother-in-law). This relative was not in New Orleans at the time, but had moved to the Mississippi Coast where he is employed as an engineer for a firm (believed to be Honeywell). DANTE MAROCHINI stated that he took up residence at 1309 Dauphine because he was told that the rent was cheap, about 7 or 8 dollars. Also, at this same time, (JAMES LEWALLEN) was living at 1309 Dauphine Street. DANTE remained at that address for approximately 3 months. He and JAMES LEWALLEN then moved to an apartment located at 4919 S. Carrollton Avenue. They resided together at this apartment for approximately 1½ months. At this time, LEWALLEN, for some unexplained reason, left the apartment and DANTE remained there for approximately 3½ months. DANTE then moved to 5319 Loyola. He lived there by himself until he was married on October 31, 1962, and continued to reside there with his wife until November of 1964 when he bought his present residence.

EMPLOYMENT

DANTE MAROCHINI began with working for James Comiskey in his winery. DANTE described his duties as general managing, and he specifically mentioned some of his duties as the fixing of tanks, re-lining of the tanks, and supervising the other workers. Some time after leaving that employment DANTE worked for Solarie's, Inc. In April of 1963 DANTE MAROCHINI went to work for the Standard Coffee Company located at 725 Magazine Street. This company is owned by William B. Reilly who also owned, at that time, the William B. Reilly Coffee Company, Inc., at 640 Magazine Street. It is this latter coffee company that LEE HARVEY OSWALD worked for while here in the city. DANTE remained with this company until August 1963. His duties were that of a route salesman. His route was generally in the Uptown area. After leaving the coffee company, DANTE went to work for the Chrysler Corporation at the Michaud Facility.

ASSOCIATIONS (Dave Ferrie)

DANTE MAROCHINI stated that he first met DAVID FERRIE through JAMES LEWALLEN. This was at a time when JAMES LEWALLEN was working for National Car Rental and when DAVID FERRIE was an investigator for G. WRAY GILL, Attorney. DANTE MAROCHINI stated he has been to DAVID FERRIE's house possibly 5 or 6 times. He stated that the first time was a few months before his (MAROCHINI's) marriage on October 31, 1962. He stated that the last time was at least 3 years ago. MAROCHINI stated that JAMES LEWALLEN was with him every time that he went to DAVID FERRIE's residence. MAROCHINI stated that a visit would normally occur when he (MAROCHINI) would visit JAMES' LEWALLEN whom he (MAROCHINI) regarded as a personal friend. MAROCHINI stated that they usually went in JAMES LEWALLEN's car. He, however, states that it is possible that they might have gone in his car at one time or another.* MAROCHINI stated that he owned a 1951 Pontiac during this time. He stated he sold this car at the end of 1963 or the beginning of 1964. MAROCHINI stated that he never let anyone drive his automobile. MAROCHINI stated that he never went to DAVID FERRIE's house when FERRIE was not there and had

*See confidential report of surveillance of 1962 showing the presence of DANTE MAROCHINI's car at DAVID FERRIE's apartment.

to wait for DAVID FERRIE to arrive. MAROCHINI stated DAVE FERRIE never left while he (MAROCHINI) was at FERRIE's residence.* MAROCHINI states that the general conversation with DAVID FERRIE was on an intellectual level and that FERRIE had a vast knowledge. They discussed such literary matters as the Divine Comedy. FERRIE often teased DANTE MAROCHINI about his religion, particularly MAROCHINI's failure to attend confession.

(Clay Shaw)

Jim Alcock asked DANTE MAROCHINI, "Where did you meet CLAY SHAW?"

DANTE MAROCHINI replied, "Who is CLAY SHAW? Names mean nothing to me."

Jim Alcock explained that CLAY SHAW was the person in the paper who had been arrested.

DANTE MAROCHINI said, "I never met CLAY SHAW."

Later in the interview, Richard Burnes asked DANTE MAROCHINI whether he meant that he did not remember meeting CLAY SHAW or that he positively remembered that he did not meet CLAY SHAW. MAROCHINI expressed in emphatic terms that he was positive that he did not meet CLAY SHAW and that it was not just a question of remembering.** MAROCHINI recalled meeting a "SHAW" who lived at 1309 Dauphine who was an entertainer and whose wife he also remembered. From the description of this "SHAW" and his wife, he could not possibly be referring to CLAY SHAW. MAROCHINI stated that he was living at 1309 Dauphine Street; that 1313 Dauphine was being remodeled while he (MAROCHINI) lived at 1309 Dauphine but that he thought someone was living at 1313 Dauphine Street at the time.

Other Persons Known or Unknown to Marochini

MAROCHINI states that he never met the person identified as CLAY BERTRAND. He states that he knows GEORGE PIAZZA whom he met through JAMES LEWALLEN. He states that although he has no interest in flying, that he had one previous flight about 3½ years ago in DAVID FERRIE's plane and that JAMES LEWALLEN and 2 others were

*See confidential report of 1962 for surveillance which contradicts these statements.

**Note that this is a contradiction to the statement of JAMES LEWALLEN and interview with JAMES LEWALLEN.

present. The flight lasted about 5 or 10 minutes. MAROCHINI states that he used to visit PAUL NITTEZ (spelling uncertain). He also stated that he and LEWALLEN knew BILL MUNSON. Jim Alcock showed the following photographs to DANTE MAROCHINI who was unable to identify any of them:

SERGIO ARCACHA SMITH
LEE HARVEY OSWALD

MORRIS BROWNLEE
GUY BANISTER

JAMES KIRKWOOD

~~92 DARRY AVENUE, EAST HAMPTON, NEW YORK 11937~~

3 March, 1969

Dear Jim Garrison,

If you have the time, I hope you'll enjoy the book -- Mrs. Garrison, too.

I realize I might be considered the enemy because of my belief in Clay Shaw's innocence, but I'm not and I'm certainly no hatchet-man, and that specific belief does not mean I believe totally in the Warren Report.

I've been impressed by your demeanor in the courtroom and as I will be writing a book on trials, this one included, for Simon and Schuster and possibly an article for Playboy, I would like very much to meet with you. Certainly not to pump or question you at length, more to get the feeling of you as a person. I know this is a hectic time for you, but when you have a moment I'd appreciate a meeting with you.

Because I'll be moving soon I'll phone your secretary in a day or so and see if you'd have a few moments for me.

In the meantime -- all the best.

Yours,

James Kirkwood

CONNICK has brought this case out as an example of our taking a reduced plea. As you can see from this memorandum, a reduced plea was quite justified.

M E M O R A N D U M

October 17, 1969

TO: ANDREW J. SCIAMBRA, Assistant D. A.
FROM: CLYDE D. MERRITT, Assistant D. A.
RE: ERIC L. LINDBERG
NO. 209-902, Section "J"
R.S. 14:64

On or about August 12, 1969, Mr. Peter Bernard, attorney for Eric Lindberg, visited this office in his capacity as an attorney for the referred-to defendant. At this time Mr. Bernard was interested in the rehabilitation of a 19 year old boy, who was charged with armed robbery in the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans. Mr. Bernard represented to you and I that Eric Lindberg was a candidate for rehabilitation under the Federal Program NARA. In order for Eric Lindberg to be assigned to the rehabilitation program, it was necessary that he receive a suspended sentence as a result of the current charge. A recent decision of the Louisiana Supreme Court, initiated by the New Orleans District Attorney's Office, held that one charged and one who is convicted under Paragraph 14:64 cannot receive a suspended sentence.

This office has in its possession a progress sheet, dated June 23, 1969, (2 days before the armed robbery), from Dr. Wells Buddington, psychiatrist from DePaul Hospital which states in part as follows:

"... in view of his uncontrollable behavior and complete inability to adapt, I feel he needs long term institutionalization."

In order for the defendant Eric Lindberg to be eligible for the Federal Rehabilitation Program it was the decision of this office to accept a plea of guilty to simple robbery. The conditions upon acceptance of the simple robbery plea were that Eric Lindberg spend no less than three (3) years in the Federal hospital, or private institution, which his father had guaranteed. Further condition was that the victim receive restitution for the \$165.00 which was taken in the armed robbery.

The decision of this office to accept a plea of guilty to simple robbery would have allowed the defendant to seek rehabilitation and, if successful, then our decision was in the best interests of the community and in the rehabilitation of a 19 year old boy. This decision is also based on the fact that a very lengthy, complicated, and costly to the State of Louisiana, series of hearings would have had to be held to determine the sanity of Eric Lindberg at the time of the trial and at the time of the commission of the armed robbery. If Eric Lindberg is not rehabilitated as a result of his conviction to the Federal Rehabilitation Program, upon his return he will have to spend five years in Angola for the crime of simple robbery which will run consecutive to the Federal sentence, and a five year narcotic probation in Jefferson Parish which will be revoked.

Respectfully submitted,

CLYDE D. MERRITT
Assistant District Attorney

June 23, 69

PROGRESS SHEET

NAME: Lindberg Mr Eric

HALL R3

DATE: _____

This 20 yr old boy was seen with his father in New Paul Hosp. on June 23 when he was admitted and promptly signed out AMA.

He has a long history of mixed drug abuse conflicts with the law and inability to adjust to school employment family etc. He has been in Lexington twice.

Today he is intense, fearful that he is being "tricked", illogical about what he plans to do and convinced that he will be in a "straight jacket".

He is oriented, of average I.Q. and apparently without hallucinations or delusions. There is no evidence of alteration of awareness or drug effect at this time.

In view of his uncontrolled behavior and complete inability to adapt I feel he needs long term institutionalization.

Wells Buddington MD

Date Brought In 7-14 1962 Time 11:15 Printed _____

Name Frederick L. Odom File # _____

Crime Parole by Court ADJ. SW. Inter. City

Place of Arrest Thurgood Bar

Age 26 Sex 21 Race W DOB 1-15-36

Ht. 6 Wt. 165 Hair BKN Eyes BLUE

Prisoner's Signature _____

Disposition of Case 2500 Fine PA

Arresting Officer Coca - Wilson

Date of Release 7/16/62 Time 8:00 A

7-16-62 C. S. S. (OVER)

Dear Sirs:

Re: Frederick L. Odom

Reference your letter dated Jan. 11, 1956, in regards to the above named subject. We have checked all leads which you give in it. We were and are still at this time unable to locate him.

After talking to Mr. Fred Odom, we found that he has an uncle in Durant, Oklahoma by the name of Mack Cantrell, address unknown. His father states that he might go there. I hope the above information will be of some assistance to you. We remain at your service at all times, I remain,

Respectfully Yours,

Hartsill Martin
Sheriff

By:

Leo Vasquez
Leo Vasquez
Deputy Sheriff

JACK RUPE, Chief Deputy
RICHARD FLOYD, Investigator
PETE GEORGE, Jail Supervisor
BLANCHE NIFONO, Office Deputy
LEO VASQUEZ, Deputy



TOM REVEER, Night Deputy
LEWIS ARNOLD, Deputy, Loving
ISSAO FUNKE, Chief Deputy, Artesia
JESSIE SOSA, Deputy, Artesia

HARTSILL MARTIN
SHERIFF OF EDDY COUNTY
CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO

January 21, 1956

Henry S. Daugherty
Lt. Col. MPC
Provost Marshal

Dear Sir:

Re: Frederick L. Odom

Reference your letter dated Jan. 11, 1956, in regards to the above named subject. We have checked all leads which you give in it. We were and are still at this time unable to locate him.

After talking to Mr. Fred Odom, we found that he has an uncle in Durant, Oklahoma by the name of Mack Centrell, address unknown. His father states that he might go there. I hope the above information will be of some assistance to you. We remain at your service at all times, I remain,

Respectfully Yours,

Hartsill Martin
Sheriff

By:

Leo Vasquez
Leo Vasquez
Deputy Sheriff

☐ PHOTOGRAPHED
☒ FINGERPRINTED

EDDY COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO

Arrest No. _____

E. Odum, Frederick Lee Ident. No. 476

es 2/21/53 Time of Arrest 7:00 PM Charge Ind.

sting Officers Burkham / Pinn Place of Arrest At residence

ress 709 N. Main Carlsbad N. Mex.
(Street No.) (City) (State)

17 Ht. 5'10" Wt. 140 Eyes Blue Hair Brown Date of Birth 1-15-1936 Nationality W Sex M

se of Birth Lakeview, Texas Employer Unemployed

rest Relative Millie Bergano 709 N. Main Carlsbad N. Mex.
(Name) (Mother) (Street No.) (City) (State)

Amount _____ Date Bail Posted _____ ☐ CASH ☐ PROPERTY

ISONER'S PROPERTY.

MONEY TOTAL \$ _____

LLS: \$1 _____ \$5 _____ \$10 _____ \$20 _____ \$50 _____ \$100 _____ SILVER TOTAL \$ _____

NGS: No. _____ Desc. _____

ATCHES: Desc. _____

ALLET ☐ PURSE ☐ KEYS ☐ KNIFE ☐ CIGARETTE LIGHTER ☐ COMPACT ☐

UGGAGE: Desc. _____

ISC. Released - checked for this subjects

being involved in a burglary.

Officer Receiving Property _____

Prisoner's Signature _____

I Received All My Property from the Eddy County, New Mexico Sheriff's Department.

Date Received _____ Prisoner's Signature _____

Officer Releasing Property _____

I, Being Released This _____ Day of _____, 19____ at _____ (Time) state that I was not physically injured in any manner while in the Eddy County jail, nor was I hurt in any manner while being arrested.

Witnessed _____ Signed _____

OFFICE OF THE PROVOST MARSHAL
AWOL APPREHENSION SECTION
FORT CAMPBELL, KENTUCKY

FCPJU 220,712

11 January 1956

SUBJECT: Escaped Military Prisoner

TO: All Police Agencies

1. Request all police agencies concerned initiate investigations and effect apprehension of escaped military prisoner listed below. If apprehension is effected by Civil Authorities, a reward of \$15.00 will be paid for his apprehension and an additional \$10.00 will be paid if he is delivered to Fort Campbell, Kentucky or any other Military Installation.

NAME: ODOM, Frederick L.
SERIAL NO: RA 14 564 980
RANK: Private
RACE: Caucasian
HEIGHT: 6'
WEIGHT: 165
COLOR HAIR: Brown
COLOR EYES: Blue
COMPLEXION: Ruddy
AGE: 19 years
DATE OF ESCAPE: 9 Jan 56
LEADS: Minnie Bergamo (Mother)
508 S. Lake Street
Carlsbad, New Mexico
Fred Odom (Father)
2610 Avenue "A"
Carlsbad, New Mexico



2. If apprehension is effected it is requested that Police Agency call Fort Campbell, Kentucky, telephone 2957 or 2930 collect.

Copies Furnished

Chief of Police, Carlsbad, N.M.
Sheriff, Eddy County, Carlsbad, N.M.
CG, Sixth Army, San Francisco, Calif.
Chief of Police, Nashville, Tenn.
Chief of Police, Clarksville, Tenn.
Chief of Police, Hopkinsville, Ky.
PMO
Gates 2, 4, & 6
Awol Apprehension File

Henry S. Daugherty
HENRY S. DAUGHERTY
Lt. Col. MPC
Provost Marshal

OFFICE OF THE PROVOST MARSHAL
AWOL APPREHENSION SECTION
FORT CAMPBELL, KENTUCKY

FCPJU 220.712

11 April 1956

SUBJECT: Escaped Military Prisoner

TO: Sheriff, Eddy County
Carlsbad, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Reference is being made to Wanted Notice mailed your activity
11 January 1956, concerning the escape of Pvt Frederick L. Odum from
this Installation on 9 January 1956.

It is requested that all further investigation cease, as subject
has been returned to Military Control 4 April 1956

Sincerely,

S. F. Turner
S. F. TURNER
Capt MPC
Operations Officer

Copies Furnished

Chief of Police, Carlsbad, N.M.
Sheriff, Eddy County, Carlsbad, N.M.
CG, Sixth Army, San Francisco, Calif.
Chief of Police, Nashville, Tenn.
Chief of Police, Clarksville, Tenn.
Chief of Police, Hopkinsville, Ky.
PMO
Gates 2, 4, & 6
Awol Apprehension File

MEMORANDUM

March 6, 1967

TO: JIM GARRISON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FROM: JAMES L. ALCOCK, ASSISTANT D. A.

RE: CALL RECEIVED MARCH 3, 1967, FROM FRED MYERS,
POST OFFICE BOX 1126, BATON ROUGE, LA. - Tele.-921-9541

On March 3, 1967, I received a call from Mr. Fred Myers, who is a Vice President of the Gregory-Salisbury Company. Mr. Myers stated that shortly after the assassination of President Kennedy he moved to New Orleans and opened an office at 820 Julia Street. Often after work he would go to Charlie's Bar on Carondelet Street for a draft beer. While in this bar on one occasion, he met a deaf mute he had known from Monroe, Louisiana. At this time Mr. Myers bought this man a meal and began to communicate with him. The mute was probably a seaman at this time. The mute conversed with Mr. Myers by writing on napkins found in the bar and restaurant. The mute told of seeing OSWALD in the place on many occasions. He also recalled having seen OSWALD in there with a girl from the Louisiana State Library. On one occasion the mute was in the bar and restaurant when OSWALD was in there with other people and they were discussing the assassination of the President. The mute read their lips and remembered what they said. He wrote this down on the napkins and gave them to Mr. Myers. Mr. Myers went to the FBI but was given no real encouragement. Mr. Myers stated that he kept these napkins for approximately 1½ years but finally destroyed them. This man is definitely not a crank, and holds a very responsible position with probably the second largest manufacturers representative firm in the country. He does not know the mute's name but does know he came from Monroe, Louisiana, and feels that Charlie might know who the mute was and where he might be contacted.

Gregory-Salisbury & Company, Inc., Manufacturers Agents
is presently located at 805 S. Front Street, telephone 524-5207.

Charlie's Place, Restaurant - 640 Carondelet Street
telephone 523-0990

M E M O R A N D U M

March 28, 1967

TO: JIM GARRISON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FROM: JIM ALCOCK, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

At 11:30 A. M. on March 28, 1967, Fenner Sedgebeer, Louis Ivon, and I interviewed MR. CHARLES VILLAS. MR. VILLAS was the owner and operator of Charlie's Place Restaurant located at 640 Carondelet Street in the summer of 1963. It was at this location during this period that MR. FRED MYERS, referred to in my memorandum dated March 6, 1967, met a deaf mute he had known in Monroe, Louisiana. The mute told MR. MYERS of seeing LEE HARVEY OSWALD in the restaurant on various occasions in the summer of 1963. Additionally, he related by writing on napkins details of an alleged plot he observed OSWALD and others discussing in the restaurant.

MR. VILLAS recalls a deaf mute who was patronizing his place of business about this time, but does not recall his name or where he worked or lived at that time. However, he gave us the following description: brown hair, approximately 5'8" to 5'9" tall, slightly built with a thin hollow face, clean shaven, he always wore a cap or hat, usually came into the restaurant about 5:00 or 5:30 P. M., and was always by himself. MR. VILLAS remembers the man principally because of the fact he always used napkins found at the various tables at the restaurant to communicate with the restaurant personnel and others who might be seated with him.

MR. VILLAS stated to us that though he could not be positive when he first saw OSWALD's pictures after the assassination, he felt that he had seen the man before. This possible sighting would have taken place in his restaurant, but as stated, he could not positively state that he had been in his restaurant.

I have just attempted to contact MR. MYERS by phone, but he was out of the city of Baton Rouge and was not to return until later tomorrow afternoon. Therefore, Thursday I shall call him and attempt to get a description of the mute he is referring to and see whether or not he is the same mute described by MR. VILLAS. Also, I shall attempt to get further details on his meetings with the mute, and the mute's possible location at this time.

D
Aubrey
Young

VON KLEIST
LETTER FROM: ROBERT CLAYTON BUICK

May 9, 1967

Dear Ric:

Received your letter dated May 4. My first impulse of course, was to answer it before any sudden move in environment takes place. Gladys and I are now on a day to day communicative thing with the United States Government as to the aforementioned subject. The move could come tomorrow, in a week or in a month. As to the change, we are at the whims and commands of Big Brother, with very little to say about the subject. We can only request; diplomatically yet. Of course, I am completely prepared for any possibility, whim and caprice of the mighty one.

As to my desires for the entering into the commercial field of excelling myself in print, this will undoubtedly have to be postponed for a short period of time. For Big Brother frowns upon the free and flowing thoughts of the incarcerated political prisoner. He possesses arms to combat physical rebellion. He possesses force to prevent the rising of unity among the free thinkers. But he does not possess neither of the two to contest and combat the vivid truth, and because of this lack, he would never allow one such as I to commence in spreading the gospel (my kind of gospel) to the sheep who unknowingly graze upon the synthetic pasture of wisdom. He is knowingly aware that I would indeed lead them into the righteous pasture of wisdom. Once there he would be constantly exposed to consistent explanation of which would not be to his particular liking.

Then again, perhaps, this is really not the proper or secure thing to do. Sheep have a tendency to panic when the wolfpack is openly revealed to them. I often wonder if they are ready for such an exposure. History is deceiving, and the deception of history has always led them to what has seemed to them, the brightest and greenest pasture. It would be like telling a child on Christmas Eve that Santa Claus is a rat-fink and that no toys shall appear come the dawn. I really do not think they are really ready or will be ready to face reality for some time to come. Shall we allow the pasteled color of fantasy to continue and eventually descend into complete and frightening robotic existence, or begin to build the barrier against it.

At times I think of myself as being selfish, but also I begin to question as to what side of me is selfish. Is it selfish to remain the rebel and the fugitive, or is it selfish not to allow them to captivate all in fear that if they control all existence, they shall also swallow and devour my individualism. This has always been a difficult and interesting analysis of the present status. It is somewhat like the gigantic icebergs in the north and south poles. If one is to melt and destroy them too rapidly, then in the desolvement, the excessive cubic feet of water shall undoubtedly rise and rush over the land. If the iceberg is permitted to remain, then too, it shall eventually begin to cover the land. What is the lesser of the two evils Ric? Hellava hypothesis isn't it?

I shall of course keep in touch, however, and wherever possible, for I feel that we not only have the great possibility of doing great things, but eventually will accomplish great things.

Later,

R.

Richard von Kleist

(Copied verbatim as dictated)

Hotel Luna, Mexico City, July 1963

Parties included in plot were Alex Hydell, otherwise known as Lee Harvey Oswald; a female attorney who is well known Communist in Los Angeles (blond, about 35 years age at that time); hotel headwaiter, Frity, first name unknown, who owned a launch believed to be shuttling between Mexico and Cuba. Also believed to be involved - Warren Brogie, hotel chain manager; and Richard Case Nagell, former Captain, U.S. Army, associated with Counter Intelligence in Japan in 1959. Barbara Warren was involved with Alex Hydell and Brogie at the time scheme was planned.

Nagell sent letter to J. Edgar Hoover warning him of plot against Kennedy and naming Alex Hydell as one of the assassins. Hydell was not known to Nagell as Lee Harvey Oswald.

The copy of the letter Richard Case Nagell wrote to J. Edgar Hoover on August 13, 1963, informing him of Oswald's plan to kill Kennedy is being held by Nagell's sister, Eleanor Gamber, 8225 Grand Ave., Long Island, N. Y. * The letter contains information about Oswald, who was named and referred to as Alex Hydell, and advises Hoover that Kennedy would definitely be killed.

The history of Richard Case Nagell is important. While a member of Counter Intelligence in Tokyo, he was dealing with a Soviet attache officer stationed with Russian Embassy in Tokyo at that time. He was approached several times and was

address still same as of 4-17-68

*Barbara R. Gamber
8225 Grand Ave.
Elmhurst, Long Island, N. Y.*

Spelling?

Letter signed by Frity

Include
☐

said to have dealt with said Russian officer as to vital information (classified).

A year or so later Nagell, while working for California Alcohol Beverage Control, was shot through the right chest when involved with a Los Angeles police officer because of Communistic implications. He survived gunshot wound and took off to Mexico or Cuba in launch owned by Fritz of the Hotel Luna. All of this is and was known by J. Edgar Hoover and FBI.

Nagell was later arrested in El Paso for bank robbery after discharging a firearm in an El Paso bank. He was in Leavenworth Federal Prison for 2½ years and was later brought to El Paso for an appeal. Appeal was denied. From there he was sent to Springfield, Missouri (Federal Prison) and is believed to still be there-(Now listed as mental patient?)

Further information coming on Barbara Warren and a Dr. Fujiyama.

ORIGIN OF THE BOOK -- "THE PLOT" BY JAMES HEPBURN

In response to two telephone conversations with the representatives of Frontiers Establishment publishing Company, confirmed by a telegram from Fiduciare Wanner on May 9, 1968 (see attached - telephone conversations tape recorded) I traveled to Europe seeking the information on which the ~~book~~ book was based.

Details of the dates and meeting places of the trip to be given later.
The information in the book was gathered through a combination of intelligence offices and personal contacts, the former, in France and England; the later, in the U.S.
Sources of the materials in the book:

- 1) Information about internal conferences at the Whitehouse and feelings after the assassination (dialogue between Secret Service personnel); and all the information having to do with the Dallas Police-
Daniel Pat Moynihan, former assistant Secretary of Labor under President Kennedy. Close personal friend of the President and other Whitehouse aides. Moynihan was given the task of investigating the Dallas Police department and questioning some Secret servicemen immediately after the assassination by Robert Kennedy.

- 2) Information about the Secret Service operations and some of the Dallas Police work before the assassination-

Winston G. Lawson, Secret Serviceman and Dallas advanceman.

- 3) Information and economic data concerning the oil business:

French Oil Corporation of ERAP COMPANY (their files)
Italian Oil Corporation of ENI COMPANY (their files)

- 4) Information concerning the members of the ambush team, their activities and performance; intelligence information concerning ballistics and weapons and crime,:::

a) conference with French Intelligence agent "Phillipe" who had met with one of the men (cuban) involved.

b) conference with French Secret Service Director Andre Ducret who is responsible for the protection of president De Gaulle.
Andre Ducret, Palais De L'Elysee, VIIIe, Phone: 265-43-70
(see card)

c) Mr. Andre Ducret's Brother is Director of the French Secret Police Bureau of O.I. P. C. (Bureau Central National Francais)
Also: Assistant Director Mr. Tanniquet, office located at 11 rue des Saussoier.

d) Interpole (See Attachment) files on international crime and international criminals (not concerned with political crimes)
Mr. Jean Nepote, 26 Rue Armengaud, 92-Saint-Cloud,
Adresse telgraphique: INTERPOL*PARIS, Phone 408-82-30

Photographic research expert: Mr. Carbonnier, Phone:
408-82-30, poste 337

e) French Intelligence weapons expert:
Erwan Bergot, 26 Rue du Comte Rene Mouchette, Paris XIV,
Phone: 566-72-94

- f) The French intelligence Agency: THE DEUXIEME BUREAU
and the British Intelligence Agency: M.I.5.

5) INFORMATION CONCERNING MEMBERS OF THE PLOT:

- a) French Intelligence Agents who have infiltrated the Oil Industry in Texas, and certain businesses in New York.

1 agent specifically has infiltrated the Schlumberger Oil Co. in Texas, the company with which George De Moreschildt has been connected.

1 agent working as a gigilo in Paris who slept with the wife of Lloyd Hilton Smith (an alcoholic) in her penthouse flat at the Maurice Hotel in 1964-65.

1 agent: Lamarre, Herve Terrane who knew both daughters of Lloyd Hilton Smith intimately (Sharon and Sandra). Lamarre was the editor and chief of a women's magazine while working for the French Intelligence agency and had many contacts in both the United States and Europe. Information about Roy Cohn was developed by Lamarre. Contact with Moynihan and all the other members of John Kennedy's staff was through Lamarre. He spent much time in Houston, Dallas, New York, and Washington.

1 agent working in Washington D.C. known to Phillips.

1 agent working in Tokyo, Japan who had known Oswald in the time of his Marine Corps training with regard to U2 observation for future intelligence work in Russia. This agent's name is Richard Savitt and his location is still Tokyo.

1 agent working in Florida who was in contact with Alex E. Carlson, employer (and possible CIA contact) for David Ferrie. See P. 317. Also David P. Green and Kurt Schmitt see page 317 footnotes.

- b) Tape recorded interviews with New York Socialite Miss Shirley McKinley who was known to John Austin, had spent time on his ranch in Southern California, and who was present at a victory celebration party at the New York apartment of Roy Cohn on November 22, 1963 for intimate friends.

NOTE: THERE ARE OTHER PERSONAL CONTACTS IN THE UNITED STATES WHO OFFERED INFORMATION OF A CONFIDENTIAL NATURE WHICH WERE NOT DIVULGED TO ME. THE INFORMATION WAS USUALLY RECEIVED IN FORM OF UNSIGNED NOTES.

NOTE: THE BOOK WAS WRITTEN BY ONE MAN, DESCRIBED AS NOT FAMOUS, NOT AN AIDE OF KENNEDY BUT AN ESTABLISHED AMERICAN WRITER.

NOTE: THE AUTHOR NAME "JAMES HEPBURN" is a pseudonym which was created by Mr. Lamarre because he has a passionate appreciation for Audrey Hepburn, the movie actress, with whom he had had an affair. In French "J'aime Hepburn" means "I like Hepburn". Hence the name James Hepburn.

NOTE: The book was first written in English (Lamarre will reveal the name of the author in August after the book is published in the United States). Six assistants in France translated the book into French, Italian, and German. The book is now being translated into Swedish.

Note : All information for the book which is included in the final text is supported by at least two independent sources. If information could not be corroborated, no matter how strong, it was not used.

Note: the director of the production of the book is HERVE LAMARRE, who had published the French magazine Mademoiselle during 1962-1965. He is a former combat officer in the French Infantry during the Indochina conflict. He is 34. He went to the French Government Diplomatic Training school. He attended Harvard University in some mysterious way. He is in charge of the publication of the book and was the primary person involved in its creation. Much of the book was based on information he had gathered. It is my opinion and not totally unconfirmed by himself that he is considered to be one of the top men in the French Intelligence, and was assigned to infiltrate the Oil Industry Social Circles in Texas and New York.

Note: The Legal Representation of the book is by :

Fiduciare Wanner S.A.
18 Cours Des Bastions
Geneva, Switzerland (see attached stationary and card.)

Wanner is the largest Suisse firm involved in legal representation of Suisse Banks. They have accounts with six major banks in Geneva.

Representation of the Book in Paris:

Maitre Marcel Soroquere
(avocat alla Cour: Lawyer before the bar)

26, Avenue Kleber phones: Poi 37-93
Pa r i s XVIe & Pas 2775

Assistants: Monsieur Hoffman, Monsieur Jacques Lemarchant.

NOTE: The Book: is registered to FRONTIERS ESTABLISHMENT COMPANY (see attached) which is registered to a Lichtenstein Address.

NOTE: The Research materials for the book are located in a bank vault in Lichtenstein. None of the research materials would be admissible in court as correct evidence such as affidavits.

Meeting with Representative of French Deuxieme Bureau

Friday June 21, 1968 Club KAMA in the Latin Quarter of Paris
Rue Dauphine.

On this date at approximately 11 PM I was picked up by Herve Lamarre and introduced to the man he had spoken about as "Phillipe." Phillipe drove a white peugot, license 349 W 95. We drove to the Club Kama speaking about very unimportant things as we drove. I determined that Phillipe had just returned from a trip to New York and planned to go to the United States again in a "fort-night" which would be around the end of the first week of July. He would be going to San Jose California to examine a new nuclear energy plant there evidently owned by General Electric Co. His specialization was energy which had previously been concerned with the Oil industry and was now strategically changing its concentration to nuclear power. This change, Phillip explained later was due to the French government's desire to get in on the beginning of the newest processes for producing nuclear power which they felt would replace all other forms of energy within twenty years. It was their feeling that the American Oil industry would begin to feel the effects of the change within ten years and that they would soon begin making moves to overtake the control of the nuclear energy production which is primarily owned by General Electric.

The French have been at the mercy of the American Oil Industry for many years and the Small Western European Oil companies are so dependant on the American Oil economy that they are ~~xxxxxxxx~~ struggling to maintain themselves. One thing is sure, the American Oil Industry, which earns approximately \$4,000,000 per day sets the prices for the rest of the world. Russia which has been steadily gaining in their ability to produce oil has been profiting by the high level of the price which is caused entirely by the American Oil Industry.

Lamarre had told me that it was Phillipe who had been so instrumental in gathering information about the oil industry people involved in the plot against Kennedy although that was never really his mission and his cover was always in the field of Science related to the Oil Industry. At one time Phillipe had met with a man in Mexico who had been a part of the ambush group which murdered the president in Dealey Plaza. This side trip for Phillipe was directly connected with an attempt to gather information about the assassination although he had been in Mexico on other business. Phillipe had had this conference in a Hotel in Mexico city in approximately 1966.

Although Phillipe had never admitted to this meeting to me he did confirm in subtle terms, always being careful to qualify his statements as "his own personal opinions", that he was aware of the Hotel Luna, that it had been an important meeting place for the assassination and in 1963 it had certain residents who were Cuban. It is difficult to state things which are understood from this type of discussion in a positively factual way. I found that Phillipe did not want to tell things directly but that if an analogy or humorous metaphor were suggested as a vehicle for discussion purposes he would follow along carefully allowing certain new information to be known. He suggested that the hotel (LUNA) had a "Cuban band" and after that the analogy became one of discussing ~~xxxxx~~ musicians and "their instruments".

Phillipe could not be mistaken for an American and he speaks with a strong French accent and is European in appearance possibly with some German blood.

Phillipe discussed the French government's plan to try and elevate ~~thkx~~ their position with regard to their own oil industry over the next ten years but indicated that their main interest at present was securing the lease or rights to produce nuclear energy; rights which are now in the hands of G.E. Phillipe will be in San Jose soon and will contact me -- he agreed to speak to Mr. Garrison if it was desirable.

September 5, 1968

The Honorable Nelson Rockefeller,
Governor of New York,
The Capitol,
Albany, New York

Dear Governor Rockefeller:

This is an urgent last minute appeal to draft you for the role in which fate and your own efforts have cast you as the one American leader who can turn the tables on a military-industrial-political complex conspiracy to destroy the two party system in America for their purposes.

The enclosed 11 page letter-study was an analysis constructed especially for you as the man of the hour who is in the best position to reverse this conspiracy and destroy it. It will require great political courage, but it will create a political miracle and make you the undisputed leader of the new politics coalition that both you and Senator McCarthy champion.

My qualifications to make this prediction are covered in the 606 pages of the study, "Assassinations of the Industrial State". It was begun with Senator Kennedy's assassination and completed by the time the Miami convention opened. I forecast then as of June 6th that a Southern right wing defense complex coalition strategy would effectively separate your strength in the Republican party from Senator McCarthy's strength in the Democratic Party and force both parties to the right in a divide-and-rule strategy.

I also foresaw that you were destined to become the outstanding national political "patsy" of this strategy in spite of the genuine dedication you feel for the new politics coalition. A reputed \$5,000,000 of your own funds was invested in carrying the appeal for the new politics directly to the people over the heads of the convention manipulators.

Nevertheless, this admirable effort was subverted to advance the Southern right wing in your party by increasing the bargaining power of the Thurmond-Agnew-Wallace axis to give Nixon a first ballot victory and to assure a Nixon-Wallace "law and order" coalition in a vote-splitting control over America by electing the President in the House of Representatives.

However, my analysis of the great risks the Complex ran in pursuing this right-wing ne-compromise strategy is as follows:

- (1) That a fourth New Party counted upon to take more peace votes away from Humphrey than from Nixon while it could take no electoral votes would refuse to become a naive "patsy" for the more sophisticated strategy of right-wing forces.

- (2) That if the election was thrown into the House as planned, that the votes of New York alone in a fourth party new politics coalition of Rockefeller and McCarthy could more than offset the Wallace wins expected in up to five Southern states. This potential, unforeseen by the Thurmond-Agnew-Wallace forces could assure that the winning nominees would have to be acceptable to the new politics national union coalition instead of to the Wallace forces, besides making it even more certain that no one party will secure a majority of electoral votes. This is virtually political jiu jitsu—employing one's opponent's superior strength to defeat him.
- (3) That the impact of invoking the names of John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King, and Robert F. Kennedy as originators of the coalition of the new politics together with the impact of an anti-Complex demand for an investigation of the CIA could take more votes (possibly 30% of the total), than either of the older parties, thereby resulting in disaster for Complex forces and a win of the Presidency in the House and the Vice Presidency in the Senate for the forces of the new politics.

It is a real probability under the special circumstances of 1968 that such an alternative to Humphrey or Nixon would be seized most enthusiastically by the voters. The 1968 situation is unprecedented in political history and the electorate has been disillusioned as never before in the convention system of selecting nominees. The electorate will also recognize the ticket as an opportunity to expiate their universal guilt complex for the assassination of the three political leaders respected in death even more than in life. "Assassinations" explains this guilt complex of the electorate and the impelling need to expiate it.

The most spectacularly successful single political event of 1968 was the rejection of party regularity by Senator Eugene McCarthy by opposing a Democratic President for reelection. I predict an even greater success for you in the voting booths in rejecting party regularity to insure the success of the new politics policy of you and your followers. If Theodore Roosevelt had made his "Bull Moose" party campaign under the conditions of 1968 he would have won by a landslide.

Under the strategy proposed, the new politics national union coalition that you and Senator McCarthy have advanced as partisans would by-pass the thoroughly discredited convention system that made possible a minority divide-and-rule strategy. You and McCarthy should actually plan to win in the House and Senate.

I predict that Wallace strength for winning electoral votes, which is concentrated in a small politico-economic geographical area about the size of the State of Oregon will be extremely vulnerable to a spoiler campaign centered on the new issue and getting out the negro vote. On the other hand, with public opinion polls almost certainly projecting the overwhelming popularity of a Rockefeller-McCarthy-Kennedy-King-Kennedy new politics coalition a bandwagon effect will take over, eliminating the problems associated with the build-up of votes in a new party. Sights could then be raised to taking California and Wisconsin's electoral votes, and, under a political miracle made possible by the quick reactions of the electorate in this age of television, the entire country. Respectable showings in popular votes, if not wins of electoral votes, could be registered throughout the country even in the 20 states where only write-in votes could be registered.

The political practicability is rooted in the fact that with both Rockefeller and McCarthy on the national union new politics ticket, neither is vulnerable to the charge that he is merely splitting the vote so the older opposition party can win. On the contrary, each can show that their winning of electoral votes in a fourth party is absolutely essential to prevent the Wallace racist party from dictating the winning nominees. The need to prevent this eventuality is favorably recognized by both older parties and their adherents. The electorate would evaluate the need even more favorably than the parties have.

Under the impact of the political conditions outlined, the splitting strategy of the Thurmond-Maddox-Wallace axis can be thoroughly negated and reversed against them. I predict that Wallace will win 20% to 25% of the total vote under the impact of more violence in the cities and more white back-lash vote before election. A New Party popular vote under the conditions outlined will command a minimum of 30% of the total vote.

That will leave the two older parties dividing 50% of the vote as best they can. No party could win a majority of electoral votes under these conditions as the older parties' electoral vote strength is too evenly divided in the remaining states.

The logical compromise between Humphrey and Nixon in the House and the Senate would be Rockefeller for President and McCarthy for Vice President. This is because one or the other of the older parties would be committing political suicide by having their adherents vote for either Humphrey or Nixon or Wallace under these conditions. Voting for the opposite political party's candidates for President or Vice President would kill the political careers of Democratic and Republican Senators and Congressmen unless it was a split ticket for a government of national union.

(4)

Under such a political impact the convention system would give way to direct national primaries by 1972. The closing of otherwise disastrously split ranks by a national union ticket would virtually reform the older parties. A new type of two party system would evolve with one major new politics coalition competing against another major new politics coalition whatever their party names, conventional or otherwise. The alternative that I see, Governor Rockefeller, is a permanent multi party system in which coalitions of politicians of different parties form governments instead of coalitions of voters. As the recent French revolution proved, this form of government is extremely susceptible to subversion by activists of the extreme right or extreme left.

Governor Rockefeller, I am an honest technical broker without ulterior political motives. I have never met you, Senator McCarthy nor any of the leaders of the New Party that are qualifying their ballot machinery in 30 states. You and Senator McCarthy each have a routed constituency for the new politics. The New Party has ballot machinery without a ticket of national union. Why, oh why don't you just get together to talk if nothing else.

Please, I beg you, heed my plea and my projections which recent history has proved out. Please contact Senator McCarthy and the New Party on your own initiative. Explore the practicability of this potentially successful strategy for new politics forces. I repeat that I have not contacted Senator McCarthy or made a proposal to him. I have, however, through my representative, Eric Smith of Eric Smith Associates, 1508 19th Street, Washington, D. C. 20036, telephone 202/232-3513, made a copy of "Assassinations of the Industrial State" available to his staff.

Senator McCarthy has indicated on the occasion of having his name withdrawn from the New Party's ballot in Iowa that he will make future decisions on a state-by-state basis, evidently to try to avoid splitting the vote for liberal congressional candidates such as Governor Hughes in Iowa. However, this problem can be cancelled out by a strategy of a national union ticket on a state by state basis including congressional candidates that could be worked out by you and Senator McCarthy.

A copy of this letter as well as a copy of my earlier letter to you of August 11th has been sent to Marcus Raskin, Committee for the Formation of the New Party, 1029 Vermont Avenue N. W. 20005, and to Eric Smith. The purpose is to help try to bring the three of you, Senator McCarthy, the New Party and yourself together by grass-roots means for a genuine draft of nominees and ballot machinery in the interest of the otherwise disenfranchised American electorate.

Very truly yours,

Ah/bh

Amos E. Heacock
2774 70th S. E., Mercer Island, Wash.

The Honorable Nelson Rockefeller,
Governor of New York,
The Capitol,
Albany, New York

Dear Governor Rockefeller:

On August 11, 1968 after an intensive research effort since June 5th when Senator Robert F. Kennedy of New York became the third national political leader slain by a military-industrial-political complex Supergovernment I have called the industrial state, I urged you to make yourself available for a draft for a government of national union.

It may give you some confidence in my probability projections in the study I enclosed, "Assassinations of the Industrial State" to realize that as early as June 6th I forecast that both you and Senator McCarthy and your respective followers in the American electorate, although a successful majority if their voting strengths were combined, would lose their initial "new politics" coalition campaigns. Without going into a detailed analysis of the reasons why, let me say that I viewed the opposing Complex strategy superior because they had selected their own prepared battlegrounds in the two conventions and in the four political parties using the House of Representatives as an alternative battleground in the event that no one nominee came up with a majority of electoral votes.

My following of Complex political strategy for the last two decades enabled me to forecast their successful strategy in the 1968 conventions and to propose potentially successful counter-strategy in time to be implemented if immediate action is taken. Your instincts were correct in embracing the "new politics" of appeal directly to the people, the majority of which are now informally regrouped into new political alignments not adequately represented by either of the old parties nor the new racist party.

There has now developed the predictable electorate revulsion against the shabby political nominating machines accompanied by the necessary police force to compel their decisions which was originally aimed at potential ghetto reactions during the Miami and Chicago conventions. The only surprise for the police and those behind their preparedness was in Chicago where the big threat came not from armed black nationalists as expected but from unarmed youthful McCarthy supporters.

Interesting { Your failure, Governor Rockefeller, if I may be so bold as to make a spot analysis, was not due to embracing the new politics which is here to stay as an appeal to the new majority of the American electorate. It is due, rather, to your unrealistic submission to what youth calls "the establishment's" political machinery which was hopelessly stacked against you—as it was against McCarthy. Youth never forgave even Robert Kennedy for not attacking the establishment machinery earlier. There were very few youth defections from McCarthy to Senator Kennedy when the latter entered the race.

(2)

No future political candidate for President, therefore, can hope to lead youth within a successful future new politics coalition without declaring himself in 1968 against the establishment political machine by one issue or another. The Miami convention had almost as few youthful delegates as black delegates. Southern right wing reaction enjoyed veto power all out of proportion to either the the popular or electoral votes they could influence.

Your party regularity cannot now upset the right wing alliances engineered by the Complex and implemented by Strom Thurmond and Spiro Agnew or put you in a better position to influence your party's platform or policies in the future. Only a threat to bolt the party (as was implemented by Strom Thurmond in 1948) can give power to your recommendations for party policy now. You made no error in failing to campaign for Senator Goldwater in 1964; you were just four years ahead of your time. 1968 to 1972 is the time to realize on your rejection of party regularity.

The strategy of the Complex at Miami was familiar and predictable to one who has studied its progress through the years. It puts early money behind both potentially winning and potentially losing candidates in order to control strategy and policy and insure a compatible winner. Here is one of my projections you are capable of checking out yourself. Campaign contributors under Complex influence hastily organized a "stop Kennedy" campaign funding meeting in New York to make it possible for Hubert Humphrey to beat Senator Kennedy at the Chicago convention. This was immediately after President Johnson's withdrawal from the race which was precipitated by Senator McCarthy's new-politics challenge of the political establishment within his own party. He appealed directly to the people in New Hampshire with massive youth campaign support that bypassed regular party machinery.

However, after Robert Kennedy was stopped with a bullet instead of by opponent campaign funds Hubert Humphrey, then becoming a front runner rather than a vote splitter, was abandoned. Some of the former Humphrey contributors switched to supporting your campaign. Again the purpose was not the support of conviction for a genuinely liberal candidate but the support of a capable vote splitter to enable them to control the platform and the Vice-Presidency in the Miami convention.

Since I am projecting my intelligence by an analysis of "enemy intentions and capabilities" rather than by access to conventional evidence it is only you, not I, who can identify such contributors by name after your own study with the help of these clues. Since you have pointed out how difficult it is for a man of wealth to raise campaign funds, you should have little difficulty identifying New York sources of the Complex campaign funds strategy referred to.

The Complex plan was to do you in after the maximum right wing concessions were wrung out of Nixon for the platform and the Vice Presidency, using Strom Thurmond as their agent. Their masterful but treacherous skill used Spiro Agnew of Maryland to make you the "patay" of the right wing forces. The night of your news conference after your defeat you appeared to be furious. You did not look like a compliant supporter of the party ticket and Republican reaction that night.

The Complex strategy even caused you to spend your own funds to build up your convention threat to the point that the bargaining power of Agnew and Thurmond to insure a first ballot victory for Nixon became decisive.

Hubert Humphrey's fund raisers now expect to get back campaign fund contributors that switched to you after the New York Senator's assassination made further funding of Humphrey to stop Kennedy unnecessary. This is not because they prefer Humphrey over Nixon or Wallace who are also receiving Complex campaign contributions.

They will contribute because they want the same protection that contributions to Harry Truman's campaign gave them in 1948 when their preferred Presidential nominee was Thomas Dewey of New York. As covered in "Assassinations", Senator Owen Brewster of Maine, Pan American stooge and Chairman of the Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee, offered Louis Johnson, fund-raiser for Truman, the campaign funds required for his decisive whistle-stop campaign. The Complex' otherwise very risky investment was secured by Truman's appointment of Russell Adams to the Civil Aeronautics Board.

However, when Truman was unexpectedly successful Louis Johnson then controlled defense business. He became Secretary of Defense and Clark Clifford of Missouri began gathering his now unparalleled clientele of big defense contractors. In 1968 there is a sequel to this story of cross party infiltration by the Complex. It is the just-beginning story of the infiltration of the new politics of which I consider you as strong an advocate as Senator Eugene McCarthy. I appeal to you to take the leadership in reversing the subversion of the new politics. Both you and Senator McCarthy each in your respective parties are potential victims of the standard Complex policy of working both right and left wings against the center majority.

A possible example of attempted infiltration of the new politics is the case of Tom Finney. Finney was recommended to Senator McCarthy for management of his Democratic convention campaign for nomination by the same financial sources that had recommended him to Adlai Stevenson in 1960. Indeed he did become McCarthy's head campaign counselor but only for about ten days. He was then replaced by Steve Mitchell of New York.

(4)

Finney entered the McCarthy campaign originally when McCarthy was the best man available to split the potential vote for Senator Kennedy of New York. The interesting thing about all this is that Finney is an ex-CIA agent and a young attorney with Clark Clifford's firm in Washington that has probably one of the largest if not the largest aggregation of big defense contractor clients. The firm, of course, is headed by the Secretary of Defense.

Finney often introduces the firm's clients at the White House. C. R. Smith, President of American Airlines who was historically the first airlines leader to penetrate defense procurement for airplane manufacturers had reached cabinet status as Secretary of Commerce since President Kennedy's assassination. In the Eisenhower Administration James Henderson Douglas, American Airlines' attorney and director became Deputy Secretary of Defense. President Truman, Clark Clifford, American Airlines' main operating base at Kansas City, and Senator Stuart Symington, first post war Secretary of the Air Force and head of the National Security Resources Board all came from Missouri.

Symington's NSRB Chief of Air Carrier Mobilization, C. R. Smith, directing James Henderson Douglas, developed the Douglas Plan to scuttle Senator John F. Kennedy's Air Merchant Marine and Airlift Preparedness legislation because of possible competitive effect upon the established airlines. Again a civil airlift reserve bill for airlift preparedness advanced by Senator "Mike" Monroney of Oklahoma in 1959 and 1961 was scuttled twice by American Airlines without coming to a vote by political pressure in Oklahoma while Tom Finney, ex-CIA agent, and Senator Monroney's Administrative Assistant, sat on the bill.

Alan S. Boyd of the firm of attorneys that served Pan American World Airways became Chairman of the Civil Aeronautics Board in Kennedy's Administration and eliminated competitive bidding for \$200,000,000 per year of airlift contracts. Each year Pan American gets the largest single contract. Since President Kennedy's assassination Boyd also has risen to cabinet status as Secretary of Transportation.

About \$80,000,000 per year of non-competitive airlift contracts went to the direct or indirect clients of Coates Lear, a Washington attorney out of whose office came Secretary of the Air Force Eugene Zuchert. By sheer coincidence perhaps, Zuchert was on hand to meet President John F. Kennedy's plane at San Antonio, Texas, the day before the President was assassinated in Dallas.

However, it is not this coincidence but the "coincidences" before the assassination in Washington and after the assassination in Dallas and elsewhere that should cause the American electorate to demand a thorough and independently funded and supervised investigation of the Central Intelligence Agency by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. It is the purpose of this letter, Governor Rockefeller, to ask you to make a political issue of the demand for an independent investigation before the election in November.

(5)

Shocking though it may be, I stand firmly behind the action paragraph, the next to the last paragraph of my letter of August 11, 1968 to Senator J. William Fulbright, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, United States Senate:

"It is my firm prediction that when the Foreign Relations Committees get to the bottom of the CIA's conspiracy to usurp Congress' foreign policy authority they will also have solved the conspiracies behind the continuing assassinations of the industrial state."

The above is based upon two decades of study and experience upon which I have based my intensive study since the assassination of Robert F. Kennedy recorded as "Assassinations of the Industrial State". The example of Tom Finney above and the historical buildup of the military-industrial-political Supergovernment and the Central Intelligence Agency indicates that the latter has become the virtual enforcement arm of the former, not only in foreign affairs but in domestic affairs. The CIA has dwarfed the diplomatic corps of the United States and has substituted the policy of the Complex.

Handwritten mark: Jm
The penetration of foreign political parties was followed by the penetration of domestic political parties. The skills involved in foreign assassinations to make them appear suicides or accidents have, since 1963, been employed domestically. Present and ex-agents of the CIA are so intermingled with the defense procurement interests of the largest defense contractors that CIA policy has become virtually indistinguishable from Complex policy.

Clifford, Smith and Boyd directly represent the Complex while leading members of the Complex even are awarded non-competitive defense contracts by the White House. It may be of interest to you to know that I have received no letter or contact in answer to my letter of August 11, 1968 to the Honorable Lyndon B. Johnson, President of the United States, an enclosure for which was "Assassinations of the Industrial State".

While the above does not stand the test of forensic evidence, there are others succeeding in gathering such evidence, particularly with regard to the assassinations, but they need help. I appeal to you for help in getting the following accomplished:

Further immediate private or non-federal government investigation of the relationship of New York Complex campaign money sources with the defense industries located in the area roughly bounded by Dallas-Fort Worth to the west, New Orleans-Pascagoula-Mobile to the south, Marietta-Atlanta-Macon to the east and Atlanta-Birmingham-Memphis to the north.

geographical theory

(This Southern politico-economic area, although roughly only about the size of Oregon, most probably includes the conspirator defense contractors that not only did you in as a candidate for the Republican nomination but may also have been the sources of plots to assassinate John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King and to destroy the two party electoral system in the United States.)

Second, prevention of an anticipated Complex infiltration of the New Party which will qualify on the ballot in an estimated 30 states by election. The infiltration purpose is to vindicate the Republican right wing convention policy of no compromise with your liberal wing by diverting sufficient peace votes from the Democrats to win.

(This is your "new politics" that will be infiltrated. It rightfully belongs to the followers of you and Senator McCarthy.)

Third, establish the proposed investigation of the Central Intelligence Agency as a campaign issue in 1968.

The New Party carries the hopes and dreams of a great many conscientious youth and peace activists who wanted Senator McCarthy for President and a new politics coalition. Of course it cannot win unless it is truly regarded by the American electorate as the ticket of a government of national union. If it could secure you and Senator McCarthy as the ticket without either of you abandoning your own parties it would be so regarded. The candidates and their backgrounds and the new politics program can ensure victory either as a new party or within the old parties, one or the other of which would have to be liberalized to meet the New Party threat. Either way would ensure victory of program.

A minimum objective should be to win New York or California or both to offset the possible trading power of a Wallace victory in up to five Southern states grouped around the politico-economic area indicated above which is the core of the Wallace-Maddox-Thurmond-Connally axis that has been so destructive to the hopes and dreams of the vast majority of the American electorate.

Since this cesspool area of American politics is so small and so vulnerable to the registration of the black vote, comparatively, it requires little youthful manpower to conduct an effective spoiling campaign. The talents and enthusiasm of such civil rights youth as has invaded the South earlier or the youth campaign that invaded New Hampshire would cancel out the menace of the Wallace-Maddox-Thurmond-Connally axis as far as electoral votes and a right wing alternative strategy of electing the President in the House of Representatives.

Since both chairmen of the Armed Services Committees of the Congress who have tremendous power for the feeding of the Complex with the second trillion dollars worth of defense expenditure since World War II come from this confined area, peace and civil rights activists would throw themselves into this activity with gusto. Moreover, the more money pouring into defense industry in this small and easily counteracted area the more national politics is corrupted. There is nothing more effective in stopping ultra right wing defense contractor subversion of the new politics than hitting them in the pocketbook. It is the only place that hurts. It is their jugular vein. The arrogance of their reaction in the face of the fact that their industry is dependent upon taxpayers of the entire United States should be thoroughly exploited.

You and Senator McCarthy have astonishingly similar foreign policy views on disengagement from the war in Vietnam and higher priorities for gross national product investment to solve the crisis of our cities. Robert F. Kennedy had a similar program and his name should be invoked in campaigning for the program of the new politics.

Interesting

Immediately after the June 5th assassination of Robert F. Kennedy I projected that both your failure and Senator McCarthy's failure to achieve the nominations of their respective parties as well as failure to adequately influence their respective party platforms would badly disappoint a real majority of the American electorate. I also forecast the emergence of a fourth party. The danger was anticipated that this would play into the hands of the Complex. Thus conscientious new politics advocates and Complex infiltrators interested only in preserving the Nixon-Agnew-Thurmond-Wallace right wing power could be expected in the same party and would be competing for control.

The Complex infiltrators, however, could not possibly go along with the spelling activity in the South so the wheat could very soon be separated from the chaff. A more serious purpose of the political retaliation would be to knock off the subversion of the American political process by a small group of defense industries in the South that are extremely vulnerable to organized counter-attack. With the unnaturally huge influence of the ultra right wing group neutralized both the Republican and Democratic parties must reflect this by moving into the era of competition under the new coalitions of the new politics. Before 1972 the convention system could be reformed and the two party system preserved. A multiple party system all of which are infiltrated is a Complex policy because such a system like the convention electoral vote system is not responsive to a simple majority of the American electorate. No liberal should countenance the destruction of the two party system except as a temporary emergency expedient.

Senator McCarthy is already holding out for a quid pro quo of adequate influence upon policy before he will support the Humphrey-Muskie ticket. However, any promises received are unenforceable. Likewise, you appear to be withholding any but nominal support for the Nixon-Agnew ticket in view of the disappointment of your followers with the Republican platform on the key issues of Vietnam, law and order without justice and the problem of the cities. You are both candidates without an organized vehicle capable of adequate influence upon your respective parties.

On the other hand, the New Party will be qualified for the ballot in 30 states with a program for write-in votes in the other states. However, it is running up against possible failure to achieve minimum new politics objectives for lack of candidates with sufficient confidence of the American electorate. See lead article, Wall Street Journal, August 30, 1968 "The Doves Regroup; Peace Forces Now Seek a Fourth-Party Ticket Headed by McCarthy." McCarthy is withholding his name and support as a candidate. With the strength of a bi-partisan coalition for a government of national union you, McCarthy and the New Party could all three benefit.

(A national union ticket of Governor Rockefeller for President and Senator McCarthy for Vice President together with an investigation of the CIA added to their joint platform and the use of the ballot machinery of the New Party could destroy the Complex strategy. Given these candidates, the program is practical for implementation.)

First of all, neither Rockefeller nor McCarthy need abandon their own parties by lending their names to a national union ticket. 1968 could be a possibly one-time electoral national emergency. Each could take the potentially very popular position that the war in Vietnam and the assassinations of two Kennedy's and the black leader of non-violent change in our cities demands an adequately funded independent congressional investigation of the CIA. Each could say that until their followers gained the investigation that a national union ticket was more responsive to the national need than party regularity and adherence to the outmoded convention system for selecting nominees.

The ticket could be as popular as though the assassinated Kennedys and the black Nobel peace prize winner were running on the same ticket. The leaders' policies could be implemented by a New Party strategy as a memorial dedicated to them. This issue could even make it possible to conduct successful write-in campaigns in the other 20 states not carrying the New Party and its candidates on the ballot. I have at present no connection with the New Party and its plans so I can make this sincere recommendation to the three of you entirely objectively.

The advantage for you would be a vehicle for viable leadership of your wing of the Republican party and the means to effect change of the undemocratic convention system in time for it to benefit you in 1972. Senator McCarthy might lead the Foreign Relations Committee to an investigation of the CIA. His followers certainly would win many of their policy demands.

1968 has been like no other election year. It could be the year of an election miracle. The generally expressed disgust of the electorate with the undemocratic convention system as viewed on television from Miami and Chicago could win the election with a superior national union ticket pairing of well-known candidates regardless of party machinery. The electorate is psychologically ready for this repudiation of conventional party machinery.

"New politics" was the campaign slogan of both you and McCarthy. As nominees of an entirely new party you could keep faith with your "new politics" followers without necessarily repudiating your respective parties. The only thing repudiated will be that part of each party's program that violates the desires of the majority of the electorate as indicated by public opinion polls. The "Bull Moose" party bell of Theodore Roosevelt could have won with the more sophisticated electorate of 1968.

The best part of the plan, however, is that the strategy could not lose as far as minimum objectives are concerned if a true national union ticket of known candidates of other parties were fielded in the November election. Both national union candidates could qualify the use of their names by reserving the right to publicly withdraw even after their names were on the ballot in the event that their followers received the benefit of adoption of minimum policy positions by the major party candidates. The minimum position could possibly be acceptable Vietnam and cities policies plus an investigation of the CIA.

This flexibility of position would benefit both the political careers of the national union ticket nominees and the initial establishment of the New Party. If both candidates of the national union ticket attained minimum policy objectives with the nominees of their respective older parties the New Party could still gain in prestige and strength and possibly elect congressional or local candidates. It would certainly do better than with little known candidates.

If a political miracle was projected by public opinion polls before election day, the nominees could be expected to hang tough until election and become President and Vice President respectively. If it turned out that the projected vote would only insure the defeat of a nominee's major party he would be in a commanding position to negotiate a new and acceptable policy position with it and withdraw honorably, constituency objectives having been achieved.

The new politics comes in an unprecedented political year. An unprecedented new politics strategy is required especially in view of the unprecedented Complex strategy of playing four political parties to effect minority rule. Party regularity must be secondary in this year. If party nominees do not demonstrate this independence of party regularity, how can rank and file voters be expected to cross traditional party lines and traditional party conventions to begin the new politics advocated by party nominees? With little over a third of the nation's voters registered in the Republican Party, party regularity is a defeatist argument that you can exploit with Republicans.

Governor Rockefeller, may I respectfully call to your attention the fact that you and your followers for which you have responsibility have nothing to lose. I am a Democrat. I assure you that an unprecedented number of Democrats would join liberal Republicans to draft you if you will accept the challenge. 1976 after two terms of Nixon will be too late. If the Democrats win you ~~will~~ will find that you will have had to expose yourself to the same political risks in 1968 as McCarthy to enjoy the confidence of the younger generation of voters. It was the political risks that McCarthy incurred in fighting "the establishment" that endeared him to that generation.

You have already risked and lost a substantial fortune to popularize the "new politics" which has been very effective as confirmed by the public opinion polls. However, for a man as wealthy as yourself this is not considered a major risk by young people and Democratic voters. Taking political risks in 1968, however, can bring forth an emotional reaction in your favor which, if not successful in 1968 can surely be successful in 1972 after the 1968 risk.

Late news has it that Senator McCarthy has again declined to permit the use of his name as the nominee of the New Party. I also predicted this action. Senator McCarthy has already registered a monumental protest that is known world-wide. His candidacy as the nominee of a protest party would be an anticlimax unnecessary to his political future. More important, a significant diversion of votes from the Humphrey-Muskie ticket would elect the Nixon-Agnew-Thurmond-Wallace power bloc which liberals feel they must avoid at all costs.

Because as a Democrat I realized this, I did not write him. A double diversion of new politics candidates from both older parties however, will relieve McCarthy of the onus of this responsibility. Moreover, New York with the strong McCarthy sentiment and with your strong Republican vote is essential to offset possibly five Southern states for Wallace if the election went to the House on a close division of electoral votes by the major parties. Consequently, I could not foresee a successful risk-free national union ticket without your prior initiative.

(11)

Today I contacted Mrs. Shirley Siegel, organizer of the New Party in Washington State—my first and only contact with the party. To my amazement I learned that she had already written you on her own initiative as Washington State party chairman to urge a Rockefeller-McCarthy ticket and had received your non-committal reply. This should be a good omen that such a ticket is, indeed, a national union dream ticket and that it is requiring a genuine draft to get either of you on the ticket.

Mrs. Siegel also confided that her confidence in you was not shared by all the New Party leaders she had talked to. That is only to be expected. Both you and McCarthy are over 30 and therefore not to be trusted by young idealists. McCarthy had an even more serious deficiency. He was almost President Johnson's choice for Vice President. However, both deficiencies were overcome by McCarthy by challenging the President of his own party. He became the hero and activist leader of democratic youth overnight by challenging "the establishment".

Such challenge, is indeed, the secret of mobilizing the confidence of youth for permanent leadership of the new politics. I am sending a copy of this letter to Marcus Raskin, The Committee for the Formation of the New Party, 1029 Vermont Ave. N.W., Room 1101, Washington, D.C. on the recommendation of Mrs. Shirley Siegel.

A copy is also going to my confidant of 18 years, Eric Smith of Eric Smith Associates, 1508 19th St. N.W., Washington, D. C. Eric was the sole liaison man between Adlai Stevenson and John Sparkman for six months before the 1952 Democratic convention where the team was "drafted". He was successful then. I hope and trust that this draft may be similarly successful. He will be in contact with Senator McCarthy's office and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

I must also cautiously advise you that forensic evidence developed by entirely independent parties has confirmed the projection made by the next to last paragraph of my letter of August 14, 1968 addressed to Senator Fulbright. For obvious reasons as one American to another I appeal to you for help in the three areas indicated by indentation on pages 5 and 6.

Inasmuch as you may not wish to make a written answer to this letter or the letter of August 11th for obvious reasons, I suggest that you have your staff contact Eric Smith. His telephone number is 202/232-3513.

Very truly yours,

Amos E. Heacock
2774 70th S. E.
Mercer Island, Wash. 98040
Phone 206/232-6638

AH/bh

INFORMATION SECURED FROM FRONTIERS - JAMES HERBORN

SURREY,

"FERGUSON," (working for driver trips from Houston to Louisiana)
(SOCIETY RANCH WITH HUNT (OWNERSHIP) near Mexico)

AUSTIN, JOHN (HUNT WENT THERE AFTER NOVEMBER,

* BUNKER, LAWRENCE

SMITH, LLOYD HILTON

* COHN, ROY

CURRY, JESSE

1401 ELM ST. (HUNT'S HEADQUARTERS)

HUNT, H. L.

WALKER, GENERAL EDWIN A.

SCHLUMBERGER OIL CO. SPECIAL FINANCIAL
CONSULTANT - DARN. R. G.

DE MORENSCHILDT GEORGE "THE CHINESE"

AUSTIN + COHN MEET IN NEW YORK

TAPE - GIRL (15 MINUTES)

PHILLIP ^(INTERNAL) IN SAN JOSE 2 WEEKS

DUCKET

NYORK GIRL - SHIRLEY MC PINLEY

(HUNTER) MEETING DINNER ONE WEEK BEFORE

BAND CLUB ROY COHN, SURREY, LLOYD H. SMITH, LAWRENCE HUNT, MORGAN DAVIS

JAPAN ASSOCIATE OF OSWALD, RICHARD N. SAVITT

CITY SHAW ORIGIN
(DALLAS POLICE INFORMATION)

D. P. MOWHAN

LAWSON, WINSTON G. (S.S. WASHINGTON DALLAS ADVANCE MAN)

PARIS FRIEND OF AUSTIN'S WIFE

Alex E. Carlson, Employer of Fernie in Miami P. 317 Footnote

Daniel F. Green

Kurt Schmitt

Professors (PFL) Pages 317

SEYMOUR SHARON SMITH - Daughters of L. H. S.

Richard Savitt, Japan

SURREY

Pages 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100

General
Prolet

September 5, 1968

Ralph Cox Jr.
4591 People's Road,
Pittsburgh 37, Pennsylvania 15237

Dear Ralph:

The long conversation I had with you after I had conversed with Jim Garrison was most heartening. Thank you for your agreement to help in any way you could.

We have brainstormed many successful projects together, but this one I had to brainstorm alone for lack of access to any experts except by phone and correspondence. Your help and material covering the period from 1956 to the President's assassination in Washington was the critical help that established a potential immediate motive.

Will you please contact Eric Smith in Washington as soon as possible to determine when and how you can get access to one of his copies of "Assassinations" and check it over for errors or additions. If you could discuss the alleged suicide of Coates Lear and tie down additional facts by talking with Lear's law partner Jerry Scoutt, please do so. If you can brainstorm a way of making an election issue of a proposed investigation of the CIA, then brainstorm that with Eric.

Finally, could you get together with Jim Garrison at some time while you are in Mississippi and try to brainstorm some of the probabilities and offer him some of the facts of the period after 1956?

Please take note of the confidential relationship between you and I and Eric with Mr. Garrison as far as mixing the potential drive for and investigation of the CIA with his search for forensic evidence. The two should be strictly separate operations and insulated from each other for the time being until we are certain we have raised some genuine support for an investigation.

Sincerely,

AH/bh

Amos

P. S. I am sending Mr. Garrison a copy of this letter to him and a copy of my letter to him to you.

